



Imaging in focus

Light sheet microscopes: Novel imaging toolbox for visualizing life's processes



John M. Heddleston, Teng-Leong Chew*

Advanced Imaging Center, Howard Hughes Medical Institute Janelia Research Campus, Ashburn, VA 20147, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 7 July 2016

Received in revised form

26 September 2016

Accepted 3 October 2016

Available online 8 October 2016

Keywords:

Light sheet microscopy

Select plane illumination microscopy

Bessel beam

Lattice light sheet microscopy

Whole organism imaging

ABSTRACT

Capturing dynamic processes in live samples is a nontrivial task in biological imaging. Although fluorescence provides high specificity and contrast compared to other light microscopy techniques, the photophysical principles of this method can have a harmful effect on the sample. Current advances in light sheet microscopy have created a novel imaging toolbox that allows for rapid acquisition of high-resolution fluorescent images with minimal perturbation of the processes of interest. Each unique design has its own advantages and limitations. In this review, we describe several cutting edge light sheet microscopes and their optimal applications.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The resolution limit of a microscope dictates the minimum distance of separation between two point-emitting objects so that their airy discs are resolvable. However, it is important to note that this resolution assumes near-perfection of both the optics in the imaging system and the point emitter itself. Loss of contrast (also called signal-to-background, SNB, or signal-to-noise, SNR, ratios) will also significantly reduce the achievable limit of detection. The lower the SNR, the lower the contrast would be. The contribution of SNR to image quality can be under-appreciated; poor SNR can have a similar deleterious effect on object detection as the optical resolution of the system itself. In fluorescence microscopy, the focus of the detection objective is placed at the desired plane in the sample. The thickness of this focal plane (called depth of focus) varies depending on the numerical aperture (NA) and magnification of the detection objective; it can range from $\sim 55 \mu\text{m}$ at 0.1 NA and $4\times$ magnification to $0.19 \mu\text{m}$ at 0.95 NA and $100\times$ magnification. Photons originating from within this depth of focus will be collected and focused by the detection optics onto the camera. Photons originating outside of the depth of focus can still be collected by the detection optics but not focused at the correct plane corresponding to the camera chip,

resulting in blurry images. A major pathway to improving SNR (and thereby image quality) is by reducing out-of-focus fluorescence.

2. Reaching for better SNR

In widefield fluorescence microscopy, the excitation pattern consists a relatively large field, significantly thicker than the depth of focus, in a cone shape both above and below the focal plane. This large illumination field unnecessarily excites fluorophores outside of the objective's depth of focus and causes premature photobleaching, significantly reducing SNR.

A mechanical solution for improving SNR was patented in 1957: confocal microscopy (Minsky, 1957). Confocal microscopes seek to eliminate the out of focus by placing a pinhole in an optically conjugate plane to the focal plane, thereby blocking photons originating outside of the focal plane of the objective. This method produces an "optical section" of better contrast and higher SNR.

Total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy uses optical principles to create optical sections. By taking advantage of the evanescent wave that penetrates into the aqueous medium following total internal reflection of the incident light at the glass coverslip, TIRF microscopy illuminates a few hundred nanometers from the interface, creating a thin optical section. TIRF microscopy generates data with superior SNR since the illuminated region is the same size or smaller than the depth of focus of the objective.

Although both confocal and TIRF microscopy improve SNR through effective methods, neither technique is without its draw-

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: chewt@janelia.hhmi.org (T.-L. Chew).

backs. Despite there being several “flavors” of confocal microscopy (e.g. point-scan, spinning disc, line-scan) it can be harsh on the sample and cause photobleaching. TIRF imaging can be performed at high speed but is limited to the region next to the coverslip-media interface. The search for a microscope capable of delivering high SNR and penetration depth for increased spatiotemporal resolution for biological applications continues.

3. The dawn of the light sheet microscope

Richard Zsigmondy and Henry Siedentopf invented the ‘Ultra-microscope’ in 1902 for the purpose of determining the size of colloidal particles in gold ruby glass using light scattering (Siedentopf and Zsigmondy, 1903). The unique change they made to the typical microscope was to separate the illumination and detection light paths by 90°. Incoming sunlight was reflected with a mirror oriented at 45° to the horizontal, which directed the light in a plane orthogonal to the detection optics. The orthogonal incident light caused scattering in their colloidal samples, which they could observe with their detection optic (i.e. looking down on the scattering). In 1993 Voie and colleagues developed the first modern light sheet system. The key improvement by Voie was to use a cylindrical lens to focus a collimated illumination laser source into a plane; this plane was significantly thinner than was previously achieved for light sheet microscopy (Voie et al., 1993). Voie was able to image nearly the entirety of a guinea pig cochlea with very high contrast due to the use of fluorescent dye and the 20 μm thick light sheet. Voie’s work and improvement to the light sheet microscope served as a proof of principle that this technique could be suitable for biological imaging.

In 2004, Ernst Stelzer’s group published work on the selective plane illumination microscope (SPIM) (Huisken, 2004). Their instrument also relied on a cylindrical lens. The authors demonstrated the benefit of light sheet imaging on a sample more relevant to the developmental biology community: a *Drosophila melanogaster* embryo. This work exemplified several benefits of light sheet imaging (e.g. high SNR, low photobleaching) but also showed that light sheet imaging could be used to capture events in a large sample over a long period of time (17 h in this work).

In the following years, incremental improvements were made to light sheet imaging, like deconvolution algorithms used during data processing (Lucy, 1974; Richardson and Richardson, 1972). However it was clear that light sheet imaging had shortcomings for developmental biology applications. A major drawback inherent in the technique is the thickness of the light sheet in Z (optical axis of the detection objective). Cylindrical lenses are unable to focus the sheet thinner than a few microns, which renders small intracellular details unresolvable. The other effect of using a cylindrical lens is highly varied illumination across the light sheet plane, particularly deep (>10 μm) in a sample. An aperture is also necessary in the light path to center the laser on the cylindrical lens; this wastes light and essentially reduces the efficiency of the microscope (i.e. less laser light at the sample), which in turn requires longer exposure times to have acceptable SNR. Finally, reliance on a cylindrical lens may preclude the use of certain advanced optical components, such as galvo scanning mirrors, that could enable more sophisticated scanning/imaging modalities. The next generation of light sheet was dubbed the digital scanned laser light fluorescence microscope (DSLML) (Keller et al., 2008). To address many of the weaknesses of the cylindrical lens, DSLML replaced it with a low NA objective lens, which could focus the light into a beam with a thin (~1 μm) diameter. This improved optical sectioning by scanning the focused beam with high-speed galvo mirrors, creating a virtual light sheet. This imaging modality still contained many benefits of conventional light sheet imaging (e.g. low photo-

bleaching, high SNR) and significantly improved optical sectioning, and thereby Z spatial resolution. The virtual light sheet allowed for better control of laser characteristics, for example peak power, sheet thickness, and scan size, by varying the NA of the illumination objective. Finally, focusing the excitation light with an objective lens is a more energy efficient design for illumination. The improved illumination efficiency thus allows for reduced exposure time and reduced time per volume collected.

Shortly after the publication of DSLML, many other “flavors” of light sheet microscopy were published: objective coupled planar illumination (OCPI), highly inclined and laminated optical sheet (HILO) and oblique plane microscopy (OPM) (Huisken and Stainier, 2009). Each flavor was designed to address a particular shortcoming encountered in various biological applications. For example, when imaging embryos, consistent illumination and penetration of the light through the entire imaging field was challenging. Often, light sheet images would contain streaks or shadows due to optical aberrations. To address this issue, a variation of SPIM (mSPIM) was developed which utilized two excitation objectives that generate virtual light sheets separated by 180° (Huisken and Stainier, 2007). With computational improvements, this allows for better signal across the sample. Use of a virtual light sheet not only allowed for nuclear tracking during embryogenesis, but was of sufficient speed to perform whole-brain functional imaging in a zebrafish brain (Ahrens et al., 2013; Tomer et al., 2012).

The variations of light sheet instrumentation all sought to address the optimal balance of illumination light inhomogeneity, imaging depth, and spatial resolution. One methodology focused on increasing the number of “views” to cumulatively improve spatial resolution without sacrificing acquisition time via multiple angles on the sample. Multi-view light sheet imaging was started with Huisken’s 2007 work (Huisken and Stainier, 2007; Schmid et al., 2013) and further expanded upon by Wu and colleagues for small, transparent samples (Wu et al., 2013, 2011) and by Keller and colleagues for larger specimens (Ahrens et al., 2013; Chhetri et al., 2015). As multiple light sheets are oriented so that the lowest resolution axis is orthogonal to each other for each sheet, when the images from the multiple views are combined computationally you can achieve isotropic resolution (Fig. 1A,B). Most recently this was done using four objective lenses that can both excite and detect emitted photons from the sample (Chhetri et al., 2015). In this work the authors were able to image large specimen volumes, up to 800 μm × 800 μm × 800 μm, with sub-second time resolution and 1.1 μm to 2.5 μm isotropic spatial resolution. Although the IsoView instrument significantly improved spatiotemporal resolution on large samples, it was not suitable for revealing intracellular details. An optical limitation of Gaussian light sheets necessitated a fundamental change in how the excitation light sheet is created and shaped.

4. Bessel beam-based light sheet microscopy

For nearly all fluorescent imaging technologies, excitation light follows a Gaussian power distribution. The longitudinal extent of the peak intensity in a Gaussian beam is directly tied to the intensity in its side lobes; this means there is an inherent trade-off with Gaussian light sheets in how long the light sheet is compared to how thin it can be. Increasing the beam power to appropriately illuminate the length of the sample can make the width of the light sheet significantly thicker than the focal plane of a high NA objective, thereby reducing Z spatial resolution and increasing out of focus fluorescence (i.e. background signal). To address this issue, a novel approach is needed for generating the excitation light. An unexpected solution came from the development of optical tweezers, through the use of a specialized lens that could generate a non-




Fig. 1. Gaussian Light Sheet Imaging. (A) IsoView microscopy facilitates imaging of large biological specimens with high spatio-temporal resolution using light-sheet illumination and fluorescence detection along four orthogonal directions simultaneously. (B) Maximum-intensity projections of multiview deconvolved IsoView image data of a stage 17 *Drosophila* embryo expressing GCaMP6s throughout the nervous system. A false-color look-up table is used for better visibility of high-dynamic-range images. Figure adapted from Chhetri et al., 2015; scale bar is 50 μm .

Gaussian beam profile (Garcés-Chávez et al., 2002). Fahrbach and colleagues leveraged the properties in this type of beam, called a Bessel beam, in designing an instrument for biological imaging (Fahrbach et al., 2010; Fahrbach and Rohrbach, 2010). These data demonstrated the reconstructive property of Bessel beams, which allows them to “reform” after encountering an obstruction in the beam path. This makes Bessel beams exceptionally suited to evenly illuminate complex biological samples without sacrificing light sheet thickness. The following year, work from the Betzig group would start to enumerate the major benefits of Bessel beam light sheet imaging (Gao et al., 2014, 2012; Planchon et al., 2011). They demonstrated the capability of the Bessel beam in generating thin virtual light sheets for resolving subcellular details, while maintaining high excitation efficiency of the laser at the sample. Bessel beam virtual light sheets captured three dimensional images rapidly for tracking membrane ruffles. This design was a major step forward in achieving nearly isotropic intracellular resolution on living samples, while being gentle enough to capture the living processes for an extended amount of time. However, the use of a virtual Bessel sheet was not without its drawbacks. Initially, the side lobes of the Bessel beam still caused unacceptable levels of out-of-focus excitation and decreased image SNR.

In order to reduce the contribution of the side lobes of the Bessel beam, Chen and colleagues made use of the wave properties of light (Chen et al., 2014). By creating a linear arrangement of Bessel beams with appropriate spacing (called a 2D optical lattice), the side lobes overlap and undergo destructive interference, which leaves the central peak as the primary plane of illumination (Fig. 2A–C). To generate a thin light sheet, the so-called lattice light sheet microscope (LLSM) employs 2D optical lattice of Bessel beams to achieve near diffraction-limited resolution in X, Y, and Z with high SNR. This, in turn, obviates the need for excessive excitation light in creating high contrast images, thus leading to low photobleaching/phototoxicity while maintaining rapid acquisition times. The LLSM has already proven to be a valuable tool in imaging dynamic biology in a wide range of sample types (Legant et al., 2016; Majoul et al., 2013; Ritter et al., 2015; Xiao et al., 2015; Yamashita et al., 2015). Although a significant advancement for biological imaging, no technique is without its drawbacks. Table 1 compares and con-

trasts several light sheet techniques and what biological questions they are best suited to answer.

In summary, there exists a tradeoff in sample size/maximum imaging volume and the achievable spatial resolution of a particular light sheet technique. While LLSM has the best spatial resolution of light sheet techniques, it has the smallest imaging volume, near $2.5 \times 10^5 \mu\text{m}^3$ (i.e. few cultured cells). Conversely, IsoView imaging has just over half the spatial resolution but can image volumes approaching $1.28 \times 10^8 \mu\text{m}^3$ (i.e. whole *Drosophila* embryo). When evaluating light sheet techniques, the purpose of the imaging experiment has to be considered in order to pick the technique most appropriate for the experiment.

5. Looking into the future

Light sheet microscopy has rapidly transformed the way biologists visualize dynamic biological processes with minimal perturbation of the natural biology. The technique’s high adaptability means that its full potential is yet to be realized. While the cutting edge light sheet systems are technically complex to build from scratch, there are commercial solutions for those that find light sheet imaging appropriate for their experimental questions. As of the writing of this manuscript, Zeiss has adopted the mSPIM design (Tomer et al., 2012) for their Z1 SPIM instrument, while Leica uses a modified, vertical version of mSPIM. For smaller samples with applications that require improved spatiotemporal resolution, Intelligent Imaging Innovations, LLC (3i) sells a version of the LLSM that is more similar to a research instrument, rather than a turn-key system (Table 1). For more ambitious users, there is an open-source project called OpenSPIM (openspim.org) that freely provides information and plans for building your own mSPIM setup. With the burgeoning commercial availability and home-built options of light sheet instruments, rapid adoption and dissemination of the technology will push biological imaging forward.

However these available light sheet microscopy techniques have limitations. Several recently published techniques, like airy-beam SPIM (Vettenburg et al., 2014) and spherical-aberration-assisted extended-depth-of-field (SPED) SPIM (Tomer et al., 2015), help to push light sheet imaging into larger and deeper volumes.




Fig. 2. Bessel Beam Optical Lattice Imaging. (A) Lattice light sheet microscopy facilitates three-dimensional rapid imaging with near-diffraction limited spatial resolution by arranging individual Bessel beams in a 2D optical lattice. The light sheet is produced by a spatial light modulator (not shown) upstream of the excitation objective. Using fast galvanic mirrors, the light sheet is dithered in the lateral axis (x-direction) in order to smooth illumination intensity between maxima of the optical lattice. The sample is located at the focused region of the light sheet (pink box). (B) Using fluorescent beads, the intensity profile of the light sheet can be reconstructed. The intensity cross-section informs the user as to the thickness and relative intensity in the light sheet and side lobes of the optical lattice. (C) Maximum intensity projection of deconvolved image data of macrophages approximately 20 μm below the dorsal surface of a stage 15 *Drosophila* embryo. Lifeact-GFP allows for high spatial resolution of actin bundles in the lamellipodia of the cells during development. Scale bar is 10 μm .

Table 1
This table summarizes critical technical characteristics of three popular forms of light sheet microscopy. Values given should be interpreted as maximum values for ideal samples. Suitable application lists gives several common samples or applications of each technique but should not be viewed as all-inclusive. Portion of table adapted from Liu and Keller, 2016.

	Lattice Light Sheet (Chen et al., 2014)	Dual-View Light Sheet (Wu et al., 2013, 2011)	IsoView Light Sheet (Chhetri et al., 2015)
<i>Technical Approach</i>	Improving axial resolution with thin light sheet constructed from optical lattice (Bessel optical lattice)	Improved axial resolution and resolution isotropy via two-view imaging (Gaussian beam)	Improving axial resolution, resolution isotropy, and depth penetration using orthogonal four-view imaging (Gaussian beam)
<i>Spatial resolution (ideal max)</i>	230 × 230 × 370 nm ³	330 × 330 × 300 nm ³	410 × 420 × 450 nm ³
<i>Max Reported Imaging Volume</i>	100 × 50 × 50 μm (2.5 × 10 ⁵ μm^3)	78 × 70 × 50 μm (2.73 × 10 ⁵ μm^3)	800 × 400 × 400 μm (1.28 × 10 ⁸ μm^3)
<i>Max Reported Temporal Resolution</i>	83,000 $\mu\text{m}^3/\text{s}$	540,000 $\mu\text{m}^3/\text{s}$	130,000,000 $\mu\text{m}^3/\text{s}$
<i>Sample Penetration</i>	50 μm	60 μm	200 μm
<i>Unique Advantages</i>	High-speed, high resolution imaging of small specimens	High-speed, near isotropic imaging of small specimens	High-speed, isotropic imaging of large specimens
<i>Limitations</i>	Limited imaging volume and depth	Thicker optical sections when penetrating into samples	Lower spatial resolution
<i>Suitable Specimens</i>	Live brain slice imaging Cultured cells Plant root tip cells C. Elegans embryos (development) Dictyostelium	Cultured cells C. Elegans embryo (cell migration)	<i>Drosophila</i> , zebrafish, and mouse embryonic development Zebrafish larval brain activity
<i>Commercial Options</i>	Yes (Intelligent Imaging Innovations, LLC)	No	No

In addition, by borrowing from related imaging disciplines, the integration of structured illumination and adaptive optics will further advance the capability of light sheet microscopes and inch closer to the penultimate goal of maximizing spatial resolution and imaging volume, while maintaining low acquisition times and phototoxicity. Light sheet microscopy is on the cusp of revolutionizing biological imaging with comparable impact as the confocal and super-resolution microscope.

Acknowledgements

The Advanced Imaging Center at Janelia Research Campus is generously sponsored by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation. We thank Dr. Philipp Keller for sharing specifications of his IsoView microscope and for his helpful technical insights.

References

- Ahrens, M.B., Orger, M.B., Robson, D.N., Li, J.M., Keller, P.J., 2013. Whole-brain functional imaging at cellular resolution using light-sheet microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 10, 413–420, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2434>.
- Chen, B.-C., Legant, W.R., Wang, K., Shao, L., Milkie, D.E., Davidson, M.W., Janetopoulos, C., Wu, X.S., Hammer, J.A., Liu, Z., English, B.P., Mimori-Kiyosue, Y., Romero, D.P., Ritter, A.T., Lippincott-Schwartz, J., Fritz-Laylin, L., Mullins, R.D., Mitchell, D.M., Bembenek, J.N., Reymann, A.-C., Bohme, R., Grill, S.W., Wang, J.T., Seydoux, G., Tulu, U.S., Kiehart, D.P., Betzig, E., 2014. Lattice light-sheet microscopy: imaging molecules to embryos at high spatiotemporal resolution. *Science* 346, 1257998, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1257998> (80).
- Chhetri, R.K., Amat, F., Wan, Y., Höckendorf, B., Lemon, W.C., Keller, P.J., 2015. Whole-animal functional and developmental imaging with isotropic spatial resolution. *Nat. Methods* 12, 1171–1178, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3632>.
- Fahrback, F.O., Rohrbach, A., 2010. A line scanned light-sheet microscope with phase shaped self-reconstructing beams. *Opt. Express* 18, 24229, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OE.18.024229>.
- Fahrback, F., Simon, P., Rohrbach, A., 2010. Microscopy with self-reconstructing beams. *Nat. Photonics* 4, 780–785, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2010.204>.

- Gao, L., Shao, L., Higgins, C.D., Poulton, J.S., Peifer, M., Davidson, M.W., Wu, X., Goldstein, B., Betzig, E., 2012. Noninvasive imaging beyond the diffraction limit of 3D dynamics in thickly fluorescent specimens. *Cell* 151, 1370–1385, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.10.008>.
- Gao, L., Shao, L., Chen, B.-C., Betzig, E., 2014. 3D live fluorescence imaging of cellular dynamics using Bessel beam plane illumination microscopy. *Nat. Protoc.* 9, 1083–1101, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2014.087>.
- Garcés-Chávez, V., McGloin, D., Melville, H., Sibbett, W., Dholakia, K., 2002. Simultaneous micromanipulation in multiple planes using a self-reconstructing light beam. *Nature* 419, 145–147, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01007>.
- Huisken, J., Stainier, D.Y.R., 2007. Even fluorescence excitation by multidirectional selective plane illumination microscopy (mSPIM). *Opt. Lett.* 32, 2608–2610, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OL.32.002608>.
- Huisken, J., Stainier, D.Y.R., 2009. Selective plane illumination microscopy techniques in developmental biology. *Development* 136, 1963–1975, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.022426>.
- Huisken, J., 2004. Optical sectioning deep inside live embryos by selective plane illumination microscopy. *Science* 305, 1007–1009, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1100035>, 80.
- Keller, P.J., Schmidt, A.D., Wittbrodt, J., Stelzer, E.H.K., 2008. Reconstruction of zebrafish early embryonic development by scanned light sheet microscopy. *Science* 322, 1065–1069, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1162493>.
- Legant, W.R., Shao, L., Grimm, J.B., Brown, T.A., Milkie, D.E., Avants, B.B., Lavis, L.D., Betzig, E., 2016. High-density three-dimensional localization microscopy across large volumes. *Nat. Methods* 13, 1–9, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3797>.
- Liu, Z., Keller, P.J., 2016. Emerging imaging and genomic tools for developmental systems biology. *Dev. Cell* 6, 597–610, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2016.02.016>.
- Lucy, L.B., 1974. An iterative technique for the rectification of observed distributions. *Astron. J.* 79, 745–754.
- Majoul, I.V., Gao, L., Betzig, E., Onichtchouk, D., Butkevich, E., Kozlov, Y., Bukauskas, Y., Bennett, M.V., Lippincott-Schwartz, J., Duden, R., 2013. Fast structural responses of gap junction membrane domains to AB5 toxins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, E4125–33, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1315850110>.
- Minsky, M., 1957. Microscopy Apparatus. US Pat. 3013467. US003013467. doi:US3013467A.
- Planchon, T.A., Gao, L., Milkie, D.E., Davidson, M.W., Galbraith, J.A., Galbraith, C.G., Betzig, E., 2011. Rapid three-dimensional isotropic imaging of living cells using Bessel beam plane illumination. *Nat. Methods* 8, 417–423, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1586>.
- Richardson, W., Richardson, W., 1972. Bayesian-based iterative method of image restoration. *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* 62, 55–59, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/JOSA.62.000055>.
- Ritter, A.T., Asano, Y., Stinchcombe, J.C., Dieckmann, N.M.G., Chen, B.C., Gawden-Bone, C., van Engelenburg, S., Legant, W., Gao, L., Davidson, M.W., Betzig, E., Lippincott-Schwartz, J., Griffiths, G.M., 2015. Actin depletion initiates events leading to granule secretion at the immunological synapse. *Immunity* 42, 864–876, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2015.04.013>.
- Schmid, B., Shah, G., Scherf, N., Weber, M., Thierbach, K., Campos, C.P., Roeder, I., Aanstad, P., Huisken, J., 2013. High-speed panoramic light-sheet microscopy reveals global endodermal cell dynamics. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 2207, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3207>.
- Siedentopf, H., Zsigmondy, R., 1903. Über Sichtbarmachung und Größenbestimmung ultramikroskopischer Teilchen, mit besonderer Anwendung auf Goldrubingläser. *Ann. Phys.* 315, 1–39, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/andp.19023150102>.
- Tomer, R., Khairy, K., Amat, F., Keller, P.J., 2012. Quantitative high-speed imaging of entire developing embryos with simultaneous multiview light-sheet microscopy. *Nat. Methods* 9, 755–763, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2062>.
- Tomer, R., Lovett-Barron, M., Kauvar, I., Andalman, A., Burns, V.M., Sankaran, S., Grosenick, L., Broxton, M., Yang, S., Deisseroth, K., 2015. SPED light sheet microscopy: fast mapping of biological system structure and function. *Cell* 163, 1796–1806, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.061>.
- Vettenburg, T., Dalgarno, H.I.C., Nytk, J., Coll-Lladó, C., Ferrier, D.E.K., Čížmár, T., Gunn-Moore, F.J., Dholakia, K., 2014. Light-sheet microscopy using an Airy beam. *Nat. Methods* 11, 541–544, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2922>.
- Voie, A.H., Burns, D.H., Spelman, F.A., 1993. Orthogonal-plane fluorescence optical sectioning: three-dimensional imaging of macroscopic biological specimens. *J. Microsc.* 170, 229–236, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2818.1993.tb03346.x>.
- Wu, Y., Ghitani, A., Christensen, R., Santella, A., Du, Z., Rondeau, G., Bao, Z., Colón-Ramos, D., Shroff, H., 2011. Inverted selective plane illumination microscopy (iSPIM) enables coupled cell identity lineaging and neurodevelopmental imaging in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108, 17708–17713, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1108494108>.
- Wu, Y., Wawrzusins, P., Senseney, J., Fischer, R.S., Christensen, R., Santella, A., York, A.G., Winter, P.W., Waterman, C.M., Bao, Z., Colón-Ramos, D.A., McAuliffe, M., Shroff, H., 2013. Spatially isotropic four-dimensional imaging with dual-view plane illumination microscopy. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 1032–1038, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2713>.
- Xiao, Y., Faucher, A., Pola-Morell, L., Heddleston, J.M., Liu, T.-L., Chew, T.-L., Sato, F., Sehara-Fujisawa, A., Kawakami, K., López-Schier, H., 2015. High-resolution live imaging reveals axon-glia interactions during peripheral nerve injury and repair in zebrafish. *Dis. Model. Mech.* 8, 553–564, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dmm.018184>.
- Yamashita, N., Morita, M., Legant, W.R., Chen, B.-C., Betzig, E., Yokota, H., Mimori-Kiyosue, Y., 2015. Three-dimensional tracking of plus-tips by lattice light-sheet microscopy permits the quantification of microtubule growth trajectories within the mitotic apparatus. *J. Biomed. Opt.* 20, 101206, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.20.10.101206>.